



Office of the Dean

Buchtel College of Arts and Sciences College of Arts & Sciences Building Room 448 Akron, OH 44325-1901 330-972-7880 (Office) 330-972-7222 (Fax)

MEMORANDUM

February 26, 2007

TO:

Elizabeth J. Stroble

Senior Vice President, Provost and Chief Operating Officer

FROM:

Ronald F. Levant

Dean, Buchtel College of Arts and Sciences

RE:

Merit Salary Guidelines and Criteria

The attached merit salary guidelines and criteria have been approved by the Faculty of the Department of Geology and Environmental Science on February 23, 2007. I have approved all attached guidelines and criteria.

If you concur, we ask that you also approve the guidelines and criteria.

Department Chair or Faculty Representative

Date Date

Dean

Date

Senior Vice President, Provost and

Chief Operating Officer

Date



Interoffice Correspondence

To:

John P. Szabo, Professor and Chair, Geology and Environmental Science

From:

Ira D. Sasowsky, Associate Professor, Geology and Environmental Science

mail: 215 CRH (+4101), phone: 5389, email: ids@uakron.edu

Date:

February 23, 2007

Re:

Revision (3rd) to Merit Evaluations Criteria and Procedures

The faculty of the Bargaining Unit have evaluated the two changes that were requested by the A&S Deans office, via you, in oral and handwritten communications to me of February 22, 2007. These original written comments (marked on the Merit evaluation criteria and procedure, version of January 18, 2007) are returned to you with this memo. The changes requested were, a)to indicate that the Chair would be provided with the worksheet materials and, b)that a term be changed on the worksheet.

A vote of the faculty was concluded today, and the following 2 changes were made.

1) Section IIC of the Merit Evaluations Criteria and Procedures was changed from "The committee will then transmit these results as recommendations to the Chair, who will provide a written recommendation and assign a ranking."

to

"The committee will then transmit these results, along with the worksheets and other relevant documentation, as recommendations to the Chair, who will provide a written recommendation and assign a ranking."

2) The heading "Volume Bonus" on page M-4 of the Merit Raise Worksheet was changed to "Class-Size Multiplier"

We believe that, with your assent, the document is ready to be forwarded to the Dean for his approval. The revised version is attached in original hardcopy, and has also been sent to you in electronic form.

Merit evaluations criteria and procedures

Department of Geology and Environmental Science Version of February 23, 2007

I. Preamble.

This document was developed in 2006 in response to Article 16 Section 8 of the Collective Bargaining Agreement (CBA). Existing Departmental Policies were evaluated with respect to the requirements of the CBA, and an initial criteria document was submitted for review by the administration. Comments received from the offices of the Provost and Dean were subsequently incorporated in to a revised version of these criteria. These criteria will be inserted as a New Section in the Departmental handbook. Faculty in the Bargaining Unit developed and approved these criteria.

II. Procedures

- A. Faculty members complete the Department of Geology and Environmental Science Merit Raise Worksheet annually (Exhibit A), assigning departmental points depending upon activity.
- B. A subcommittee of faculty, elected according to Departmental Bylaws, reviews departmental points for accuracy and consistency between faculty, and discusses any discrepancies with affected faculty.
- C. Departmental points are entered in to a spreadsheet that uses the supplied lookup table (Exhibit B), along with weightings described below, to calculate university merit points (value 1 to 5). The committee will then transmit these results, along with the worksheets and other relevant documentation, as recommendations to the Chair, who will provide a written recommendation and assign a ranking.
- D. The Department will use a 3-year rolling average for university points. This means, for example, that a faculty member's actual merit raise for 2008 would be based on an average of the university merit points that they earned for 2006, 2007, and 2008. The purpose of the rolling average is to minimize extreme values.
- E. With respect to calculation of university merit points, default weightings are 0.4 for teaching, 0.4 for research, and 0.2 for service, for tenure track or tenured faculty. Such faculty may specify to the Chair, not later than the second Friday of the fall semester, their desire to modify their weightings by up to 0.1 for that year (total must still equal 1.0). Weights for Instructors are 0.8 for teaching, 0.1 for research, and 0.1 for service. Teaching is weighted 1.0 for college lecturers.
- F. There is no implication that a faculty member's merit weightings will in any way reflect their load.
- G. In the case of unusual circumstances, or that a faculty member is on extended leave or Professional Development Leave (PDL), the Bargaining Unit Member shall negotiate with the Chair for the distribution of the percentage effort in the three areas.

- H. The number of points required for a "Satisfactory" university rating are given in Exhibit B, as are all other values for ratings.
- III. Approval

Approved by the majority vote of a quorum of the Bargaining Unit Faculty on February 23, 2007

Ira D. Sasowsky, Chairman of Committee

Date

Exhibit A Department of Geology and Environmental Science Worksheet for Merit Raise and Annual Report

Calendar Year:		Name:	
DEPT. OF GEO	OLOGY& ENV WORKS	VIRONMENTAL SCII HEET	ENCE
FOR MEA	RIT RAISE AN	ID ANNUAL REPOR	T
Instructions: Tab will propel you fr forms and then click on the padlock		orm. If you want to add lines, click on v	iew. toolbars,
		it activities and be willing to make them cified in each category should be submit	
			Teaching
has)		applied to normalize for the number of credits formula. No negative points to be awarded in	
Course Number	Credits	Quality of Teacher	Points
Class-Size Multiplier (Use Numb Non-Major Undergraduate Courses: (0.0 Field Camp & Summer Courses, Gradua = Points Course Number	05 X Number of Students) =		mber of Students)
Teaching Activity Variable points up to 1.0 per credit hour Provide a short description and justificat			
New Course Preparation	perpendicular despera		Points
		47	
New Field Trip Preparation			Points
Major Course Revision or Innov	ation		Points
Class Field Trip on weekend (0.2	2/day)		Points
Course Specific Lab Manual (In-	-House)		Points
Undergraduate/Graduate Researc	ch (0.1/credit hr.)		Points

Points

Other (describe)

Teaching Awards Up to 3.0

UA Award (1.0); State (2.0); National (3.0)	Points
Other Activities Variable points, based on effort and impact, or prorated on per student basis up to	2.0/activity.
Activity	Points

Grand Total for Teaching:

Research

Refereed Articles

Please include the complete citation below (authors, date, title, journal, vol., pages) Provide a reprint or copy of the lst page with copyright information, which will be returned. For articles and books submitted for review, provide letter of acceptance for review.	
Published Refereed Articles (0.5-6.0; major journal = 3.0)	Points
Books in Print (1.0 - 10.0; being an editor is worth less)	Points
Articles and Books Submitted for Review this Year (0.5)	Points
Published Abstracts Please include the complete citation below (authors, date, title, journal, vol., pages)	
Regional Meeting (0.3 per abstract)	Points
National Meeting (0.5 per abstract)	Points
Grants Proposals Submitted Provide copy of transmittal sheet.	
UA Grants (0.1)	Points
External grants (0.2 pts./\$10,000 up to 2.0 points)	Points
Grants Awarded	
Provide a copy of letter of award. UA Grants (0.1 pts/\$1,000 up to 1.0)	Points
PI and CoPIs:	
Title:	
Program:	
Date Awarded:	
Amt. to Dept. of Geology (% effort):	

2 0 111 0

External grants (2.0 per \$25,000 prorated to % effort or % indirect Cost))	Points
PI and CoPIs:	
Title:	
Program:	
Date Awarded:	
Amt. to Dept. of Geology (% effort):	

Research Activity 2.0 per \$25,000

Provide a short description and justification.

Contract Research			
Donations Acquired on Behalf of the University (0.1 to 2.0 points with justification)	Points		
Scholarships Acquired on Behalf of the University (0.1 to 2.0 prorated to % effort)	Points		

	Points
Awards for excellence in research	
Provide letter of award.	
UA Award (1.0): State (2.0); National (3.0)	Points
	18
Other Activities	
Variable points, based on effort and impact	
Activity	Points

Departmental Service S	ervice
Committees (0.1 – varies by committee)	Points
Other Departmental Service (provide a short description and justification).	Points
College Service 0.2 pts. – Varies by committee. Provide a short description and justification.	
Description	Points
University Service 0.2 pts. – Varies by committee. Provide a short description and justification.	
Description	Points
Professional Service Use formula in appendix. Provide a short description and justification.	
Officer of a Professional Society.	Points
Seminar Presentation at Another University (0.2)	Points
	1
Referee of a Professional Paper (0.1); Proposal Reviewer (0.1).	Points
Other Professional Service	Points
Other I folessional Service	Tomis
Professional Community Service 0.1 to 0.5 per event (use formula & appendix provided). Provide a short description and justification.	
Description	Points
Other Activities Variable points, based on effort and impact, or prorated on per student basis up to 2.0/activity	
Other activities	Points
Grand Total for Service:	
ā — a m	
Grand Total:	

Exhibit B Department of Geology and Environmental Science Lookup Table for Converting Departmental Merit Points to University Merit Points

New Dept. of Geology & Environmental Science
Departmental (Worksheet) Point to University Point Lookup Table

Teaching	University		Research		Service	University
Worksheet Pts			Worksheet Pts		Worksheet Pts	
0.0	1		0.0	1	0.0	1
0.1	1.2		0.1	1.2	0.1	1.2
0.2	1.4		0.2	1.4	0.2	1.4
0.3	1.6		0.3	1.6	0.3	1.6
0.4	1.8		0.4	1.8	0.4	1.8
0.5	2		0.5	2	0.5	2
1.6	2.2		2.8	2.2	1.4	2.2
2.7	2.4		5.1	2.4	2.3	2.4
3.8	2.6		7.4	2.6	3.2	2.6
4.9	2.8		9.7	2.8	4.1	2.8
6.0	3		12.0	3	5.0	3
7.2	3.2		14.0	3.2	6.0	3.2
8.4	3.4		16.0	3.4	7.0	3.4
9.6	3.6		18.0	3.6	8.0	3.6
10.8	3.8		20.0	3.8	9.0	3.8
12.0	4	and the same of th	22.0	4	10.0	4
12.8	4.2		23.6	4.2	12.0	4.2
13.6	4.4		25.2	4.4	14.0	4.4
14.4	4.6		26.8	4.6	16.0	4.6
15.2	4.8		28.4	4.8	18.0	4.8
16.0	5		30.0	5	20.0	5

Ranking Unsatisfactory Unsatisfactory Unsatisfactory Unsatisfactory Unsatisfactory Satisfactory Satisfactory Satisfactory Satisfactory Satisfactory Meritorious Meritorious Meritorious Meritorious Meritorious Outstanding Outstanding Outstanding Outstanding Outstanding Extraordinary